



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,254	03/03/2004	David John Steeves	MSFT-2934 / 306552.01	3966
23377 7590 10/16/2008 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891				
EXAMINER KRISHNAN, VIVEK V				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2445				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/16/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/792,254

Applicant(s)

STEEVES, DAVID JOHN

Examiner

VIVEK KRISHNAN

Art Unit

2445

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 and 27-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 and 27-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This is a Non-Final Office Action Correspondence in response to Request for Continued Examination for U.S. Application No. 10/792254 filed on June 18, 2008. Claims 1-25 and 27-31 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warhol Worms: The Potential for Very Fast Internet Plagues to Weaver (denoted herein as "Weaver") (IDS submitted December 17, 2007) and in further view of Simulating and Optimizing Worm Propagation Algorithms to Vogt (denoted herein as "Vogt") (IDS submitted December 17, 2007).
3. As to Claims 1, 9, and 17, Weaver discloses a method, system, and computer readable medium bearing computer readable instructions (referenced hereinafter as the method) for propagating data over a network, comprising:

determining a sequential first set of network addresses (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses determining a sequential first set of network addresses; i.e. the IP address space);

mapping a range of addresses in the sequential first set of network addresses to a second set of addresses wherein the second set of addresses is a one to one mapping of the address from the first set and wherein the addresses in the second set are not in increasing address order (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses a one to one mapping of the first set of addresses to a second set of addresses by generating a permutation of the first set of addresses) (see also Laplante – Dictionary of Computer Science Engineering and Technology; for a definition of permutation as a rearrangement of elements where none are lost, added, or changed, in this case, a rearrangement of the IP address space);

traversing the second set of addresses to find another element of the network (Weaver; Active Worms, Existing Infection Strategies, and New Infection Strategies, discloses a permutation scan where the permutation, or second set, of addresses is traversed to find another element of the network);

transferring the data to the another element of the network along with an indication of at least a portion of the addresses remaining in the second set [...] (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses transferring the data and a portion of the addresses remaining in the second set to another element of the network).

Weaver (New Infection Strategies) discloses a partitioned permutation scan where a range of addresses in the permutation are transferred to another element. Hence, Weaver discloses transferring the data to the another element of the network and specifying a range of

addresses in the second set of addresses. Weaver's disclosure implies but is not explicit regarding providing the indication of at least a portion of the addresses. Vogt, however, discloses transferring the data to the another element of the network along with an indication of at least a portion of the addresses remaining in the second set by specifying a range of addresses in the second set of addresses (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing, transferring data to another element of the network with an indication of a portion of addresses by specifying a range of addresses).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify, transferring data to another element, as disclosed by Weaver, to include transferring the data by providing an indication of a portion of addresses, as disclosed by Vogt.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to make this combination in order to provide faster propagation of software over an address space (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing).

Weaver further discloses wherein traversed addresses of the second set of addresses are excluded from the specified range of addresses (Weaver; New Infection Strategies; the newly infected worm takes a range of addresses that exclude the range the original worm traverses).

4. As to Claims 2, 10, and 18, Weaver and Vogt in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claims 1, 9, and 17. Weaver further discloses the mapping is a function based on a primitive element (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the permutation is based on a preselected key).

5. As to Claims 3, 11, and 19, Weaver and Vogt in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claims 1, 9, and 17. Vogt further discloses traversing the second set of addresses to find a second element of the computer network and transferring the data to the second element of the computer network and an indication of at least a second portion of the addresses remaining in the second set that have not been traversed (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing, discloses traversing a set of addresses to find a second element of the computer network and transferring the data to the second element with an indication of a second portion of the addresses remaining in the set that have not been traversed).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify, traversing a set of addresses to find an element and transferring to the element data with an indication of a portion of addresses in the set, as disclosed by Weaver, to include finding and transferring data with a second portion of addresses remaining in the set that have not been traversed to another element, as disclosed by Vogt.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to make this combination in order to provide a more efficient method of propagating software over an address space (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing).

6. As to Claims 4, 12, and 20, Weaver and Vogt in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claims 1, 9, and 17. Weaver further discloses the indication of the at least a portion of the addresses remaining comprises a function used to perform that mapping (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the indication includes the permutation scan algorithm applied by each worm).

7. As to Claims 5, 13, and 21, Weaver and Vogt in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claims 1, 9, and 17. Weaver further discloses the network comprises Internet Protocol addresses (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the network comprising IP addresses).

8. As to Claims 6, 14, and 22, Weaver and Vogt in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claims 5, 13, and 21. Weaver further discloses the network is coupled to the Internet (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the network is coupled to the Internet).

9. As to Claims 7, 15, and 23, Weaver and Vogt in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claims 5, 13, and 21. Weaver further discloses the network comprises a subnet (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the network comprises a subnet).

10. As to Claims 8, 16, and 24, Weaver and Vogt in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claims 1, 9, and 17. Weaver further discloses the element of the computer network comprises a computing device (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the element of the network is a computing device).

11. Claims 25 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weaver, and in further view of Vogt and U.S. Patent No. 5,377,207 to Perlman.

12. As to Claim 25, Weaver discloses:

(a) determining a sequential first set of network addresses (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses determining a sequential first set of network addresses; i.e. the IP address space));

(b) mapping a range of addresses in the sequential first set of network addresses to a second set of addresses wherein the second set of addresses is a one to one pseudo-random mapping of the range of addresses in the first set and wherein the addresses in the second set are not in increasing address order wherein the mapping is a function based on [...] a primitive element (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses a one to one mapping of the first set of addresses to a second set of addresses by generating a permutation of the first set of addresses) (see also Laplante – Dictionary of Computer Science Engineering and Technology; for a definition of permutation as a rearrangement of elements where none are lost, added, or changed, in this case, a rearrangement of the IP address space) (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the permutation is based on a preselected key);

Weaver does not explicitly disclose, however Perlman discloses that the mapping function is based on powers of a primitive element (Perlman; Abstract and column 13 lines 6-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a permutation of a range of address, as disclosed by Weaver, to include using powers of a primitive element to perform the permutation, as disclosed by Perlman, in order to apply known methods of performing permutations.

Weaver does not explicitly disclose, however Vogt discloses:

(c) traversing the second set of addresses to locate at least two other elements of the network (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing, discloses traversing a set of addresses to locate two elements of a network);

(d) subdividing the addresses of the second set of addresses that were not traversed in act (c) into a plurality of portions, wherein addresses of the second set of addresses that were traversed in act (c) are excluded from the portions (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing; the range of addresses sent are the addresses the parent traversed plus 1, i.e. the traversed addresses are excluded);

(e) transferring a set of computer readable instructions to the at least two other elements of the network to carry out a distributed computing function (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing, discloses transferring the worm and propagation algorithm to at least two other elements of the network) (see also Weaver; Abstract and New Infection Strategies, discloses the worm, which comprises self executing instructions, propagating through address space by transferring copies of itself to other elements of the network); and

(f) transferring an indication of at least a portion of the addresses remaining in the second set by specifying a range of addresses in the second set of addresses along with a set of computer-readable instructions for carrying out acts (a) through (e) to a respective element of the at least two other elements (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing, discloses transferring, to the two elements, the worm along with an indication of a portion of addresses remaining in the second set by specifying a range of addresses, in this case, half the address space) (see also Weaver; Abstract and New Infection Strategies, discloses the worm, which comprises self executing instructions, propagating through address space by transferring copies of itself to other elements of the

network with an indication of a portion of addresses remaining in the permutation, or second set, of addresses).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify determining and mapping, as disclosed by Weaver, to include traversing and transferring to at least two other element, as disclosed by Vogt.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to make this combination in order to provide faster propagation of software over an address space (Vogt; 4.4 Subdividing).

13. As to Claim 27, Weaver, Vogt, and Perlman in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claim 25. Weaver further discloses the indication of the at least a portion of the addresses remaining comprises a function used to perform that mapping (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the indication includes the permutation scan algorithm applied by each worm).

14. As to Claim 28, Weaver, Vogt, and Perlman in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claim 25. Weaver further discloses the network comprises Internet Protocol addresses (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the network comprising IP addresses).

15. As to Claim 29, Weaver, Vogt, and Perlman in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claim 25. Weaver further discloses the network is coupled to the Internet (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the network is coupled to the Internet).

16. As to Claim 30, Weaver, Vogt, and Perlman in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claim 25. Weaver further discloses the network comprises a subnet (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the network comprises a subnet).

17. As to Claim 31, Weaver, Vogt, and Perlman in combination disclose each and every limitation of Claim 25. Weaver further discloses the element of the computer network comprises a computing device (Weaver; New Infection Strategies, discloses the element of the network is a computing device).

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Weaver et al. A Taxonomy of Computer Worms. October 27, 2003.

Staniford et al. Top Speed of Flash Worms. October 29, 2004.

Ma et al. Self-Stopping Worms. November 11, 2005.

Salomon, David. Foundations of Computer Security - Worms. March 20, 2006.

Laplante, Phillip A. Dictionary of Computer Science, Engineering, and Technology.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VIVEK KRISHNAN whose telephone number is (571) 270-

5009. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VK

/Jason D Cardone/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445